Preparation, structure and preliminary magnetic studies of tri- and tetra-nuclear cobalt–lanthanide carboxylate complexes †

Yong Cui, Jiu-Tong Chen, De-Liang Long, Fa-Kun Zheng, Wen-Dan Cheng and Jin-Shun Huang*

State Key Laboratory of Structural Chemistry, Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Fuzhou, Fujian, 350002, P. R. China

Carboxylate-bridged cobalt–lanthanide complexes $[Co_2Nd-(O_2CCMe_3)_6(C_9H_7N)_2(NO_3)]$ and $[Co_2Er_2(O_2CCMe_3)_8-(C_9H_7N)_2(NO_3)_2]$ have been prepared and structurally characterised by X-ray diffraction; both of them are found to be antiferromagnetically coupled.

With the aim of clarifying the role of the exchange interactions between 4f and 3d metal ions modifying the properties of magnetic materials containing rare-earth metals, in the last few years, an increasing interest has been given to the magnetic properties of molecular complexes comprising simultaneously lanthanide and transition metal ions.¹⁻⁸ This interest has been essentially focused on the Cu^{II}-Gd^{III} couple¹⁻⁶ which has been found to be directly ferromagnetic. Recent studies have revealed that the magnitude of the ferromagnetic exchange interaction between the different metal centres is exponentially dependent on the Cu-Gd distance.^{5,7} By comparison, the magnetic interactions between other 3d-4f mixtures have been poorly explored,^{8,9} and in fact only very few structurally characterised complexes are known which contain such combinations of metals.⁸⁻¹¹ Herein we described the preparation, crystal structure and preliminary magnetic studies of [Co₂Nd(O₂CCMe₃)₆- $(C_9H_7N)_2(NO_3)$] 1 and $[Co_2Er_2(O_2CCMe_3)_8(C_9H_7N)_2(NO_3)_2]$ 2. To the best of our knowledge, the present cases are the first two examples of carboxylate-bridged discrete heterometallic cobalt-lanthanide complexes^{9,10} and are new model complexes for magnetic investigation.

A mixture of $Co(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ (0.58 g, 2 mmol), $Nd(NO_3)_3 \cdot 6H_2O$ 6H₂O (0.44 g, 1 mmol) and pivalic acid (0.61 g, 6 mmol) was dissolved in EtOH (80 mmol), followed by addition of quinoline (0.24 mL). The resultant mixture was refluxed for 16 h, filtered while hot, and then concentrated to 25 mL. The filtrate was left at room temperature and red-brown prismatic crystals of 1 were deposited in 35% yield after two weeks. ‡ X-Ray crystallography§ has established that complex 1 consists of a discrete trinuclear [Co2Nd(O2CCMe3)6(C9H7N)2(NO3)] molecule as shown in Fig. 1. Two cobalt atoms are each co-ordinated by three carboxylate oxygen atoms and a quinoline molecule to form distorted tetrahedrons where the most distorted angles are 96.6(2) and 96.0(2)° for O(12)-Co(1)-N(1) and O(42)-Co(2)-N(2), respectively. Each terminal cobalt atom is connected to the central neodymium atom by three bridging pivalate ligands with Co \cdots Nd separations of 4.0668(7) and 4.0700(7) Å. The arrangement of the three metals is quasi-linear with a Co(1)-Nd-Co(2) angle of 134.10(2)°. Besides the six carboxylate oxygen atoms, the eight-co-ordination sphere of the neodymium



atom is completed by a chelating nitrate anion. The coordination polyhedron around the neodymium atom is irregular, with the chief distortion being caused by the presence of a small bidentate nitrate anion, but it still can be described as a dodecahedron with the two trapezia defined by O(11), O(1), O(2), O(51) and O(31), O(21), O(61), O(41) intersecting at an angle of 86.3°.

The analogous reaction of hydrated cobalt nitrate with hydrated erbium nitrate leads to a quite different, crystallographically characterised product 2. Here a centrosymmetric tetranuclear Co₂Er₂ complex is formed, the inversion center residing on the midpoint of the Er-Er vector, as shown in Fig. 2. Again two four-co-ordinated cobalt atoms, each having a terminal quinoline ligand, are each triply connected to the adjacent Er atom via three bridging pivalate groups. The structure of the dinuclear Co-Er subunits is very similar to the structure of Co-Nd moieties in 1, but the Co···Er distance of 3.9127(9) Å is slightly shorter than the Co · · · Nd distance, as a consequence of the smaller size of the erbium atom. A pair of Er atoms in two centrosymmetrically related binuclear Co-Er subunits are linked together by two pivalate groups in a syn-syn bridging fashion with an $\text{Er} \cdots \text{Er}$ distance of 5.2017(5) Å. As a result, the sequence of atoms Co-Er-Er-Co makes a broken line with a Co-Er-Er angle of 137.05(2)°. The Er atom is sevenco-ordinate, with five of the sites filled by five pivalate oxygen atoms and two sites by a chelating nitrate anion. The geometry of the Er atom is based on a pentagonal bipyramid, with the equatorial plane defined by O(1), O(2), O(21), O(11) and O(42A) and the axial positions occupied by O(31) and O(41) $[O(31)-Er-O(41) = 176.6(2)^{\circ}].$

The change in structure from 1 to 2 probably results from the reduction in the ionic radius in going from Nd to Er, which is reflected in the lower co-ordination number of the lanthanide in 2. Similar effects have been found previously.³ Elemental analysis and cell determination suggest that the Ce analogue has structure 1, whilst the yttrium one has structure 2 (see SUP 57420). It should be noted that quinoline plays an important role in the formation of such 3d–4f mixed metal complexes, especially for those 3d metal ions having tetrahedral coordination sites. Detailed discussion will be given in subsequent reports.

The magnetic properties of 1 and 2 in the solid state have been investigated at 1.0 T, over the temperature range 5–280 K (see SUP 57420). For complex 1, μ_{eff} per molecule decreases

 $[\]dagger$ Supplementary data available: cell parameters for [Co₂Cc(O₂CCMe₃)₆(C₉H₇N)₂(NO₃)] and [Co₂Y₂(O₂CCMe₃)₈(C₉H₇N)₂(NO₃)₂], Nd and Er co-ordination polyhedra in complexes 1 and 2, and plots of μ_{eff} vs. *T* for complexes 1 and 2. For direct electronic access see http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/dt/1998/2955/, otherwise available from BLDSC (No. SUP 57420, 5 pp.) or the RSC Library. See Instructions for Authors, 1998, Issue 1 (http://www.rsc.org/dalton).

^{\$} Satisfactory elemental analyses were obtained for both compounds. (1: Found C, 48.3; H, 5.9; N, 3.4. Calc. for $C_{48}H_{68}Co_2N_3NdO_{15}$: C, 48.5; H, 5.8; N, 3.5%. **2**: Found: C, 42.3; H, 5.3; N, 3.2. Calc. for $C_{58}H_{86}Co_2Er_2N_4O_{22}$: C, 42.4; H, 5.3; N, 3.4%.)

Non-SI unit employed: $\mu_B \approx 9.274 \times 10^{-24} \text{ J T}^{-1}$.

[§] Crystal data for 1 C₄₈H₆₈Co₂N₃NdO₁₅: M = 1189.15, triclinic, space group $P\overline{1}$, a = 11.9406(2), b = 12.6970(2), c = 22.1401(1)Å, a = 98.209(1), $\beta = 98.083(1)$, $\gamma = 117.24(2)^{\circ}$, U = 2871.74(7)Å³, Z = 2, $D_c = 1.375$ g cm⁻³, $\mu = 1.522$ mm⁻¹, F(000) = 1222. Crystal data for 2 C₅₈H₈₆Co₂D_cF₃N₀O₂₂: M = 1643.69, triclinic, space group $P\overline{1}$, a = 10.792(3), b = 12.4595(5), c = 13.7959(2)Å, a = 85.5964(8), $\beta = 84.5640(7)$, $\gamma = 73.050(7)^{\circ}$, U = 1794.72(5)Å³, Z = 1, $D_c = 1.521$ g cm⁻³, $\mu = 2.838$ mm⁻¹, F(000) = 828. Data collection and processing: T = 293 K, R = 0.038 (wR = 0.081) for 6505 observed reflections $[20 \le 45.5^{\circ}$, $F^2 > 2ac(F^2)$] and S = 1.05 for 1, and R = 0.050 (wR = 0.120) for 5140 observed reflections $[20 \le 50.0^{\circ}$, $F^2 > 2ac(F^2)$] and S = 1.05 for 2. For complex 1 the *tert*-butyl groups of the pivalate ligands involving C(12) and C(52) were treated as having rotational disorder around the C(11)–C(12) or C(51)–C(52) bond with three methyl groups each occupying two half-weighted sites, while those *tert*-butyl groups factor of 0.50. In the latter case the terminal C–C bonds in each pivalate ligand were restrained to be similar with a standard deviation of 0.03Å. CCDC reference number 186/1115. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/dt/1998/2955/for crystallographic files in .cif format.



Fig. 1 Molecular structure of complex **1**. Only one part of the disordered *tert*-butyl groups is presented. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected interatomic distances (Å): Nd–O(1) 2.565(5), Nd–O(2) 2.538(3), Nd–O(11) 2.358(3), Nd–O(21) 2.385(5), Nd–O(31) 2.385(4), Nd–O(41) 2.408(4), Nd–O(51) 2.385(3), Nd–O(61) 2.428(4), Co(1)–O(12) 1.943(4), Co(1)–O(22) 1.964(3), Co(1)–O(32) 1.940(3), Co(1)–N(1) 2.081(4), Co(2)–O(42) 1.948(4), Co(2)–O(52) 1.939(4), Co(2)–O(62) 1.948(4), Co(2)–N(2) 2.092(4)



Fig. 2 Molecular structure of complex **2**. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected interatomic distances (Å): Er-O(1) 2.412(5), Er-O(2) 2.404(6), Er-O(11) 2.229(6), Er-O(21) 2.287(5), Er-O(31) 2.231(5), Er-O(41) 2.174(5), $Er-O(42A^{i}) 2.248(5)$, Co-O(12) 1.946(6), Co-O(22) 1.940(5), Co-O(32) 1.967(5), Co-N(2) 2.077(6). Symmetry code: i 2 - x, -y, 3 - z

gradually from 6.82 at 280 K to 5.63 $\mu_{\rm B}$ at 5 K. This behavior is clearly characteristic of intramolecular antiferromagnetic coupling. Complex **2** is also antiferromagnetically coupled: $\mu_{\rm eff}$ per molecule is 14.19 $\mu_{\rm B}$ at 274 K, which declines to 10.95 $\mu_{\rm B}$ at 5 K. At room temperature, $\mu_{\rm eff}$ per Co²⁺ ion is expected to be 3.83 $\mu_{\rm B}$;¹² for Er³⁺ and Nd³⁺ ions, $\mu_{\rm eff}$ is ≈9.6 and 3.5 $\mu_{\rm B}$,¹² respectively. For Co^{II}₂Er^{III}₂ and Co^{II}₂Nd^{III} complexes with noninteracting metal ions, $\mu_{\rm eff}$ is expected to be ≈14.6 and 6.6 $\mu_{\rm B}$, respectively. The experimental values of $\mu_{\rm eff}$ are thus close to the corresponding non-interacting values, indicating that all interactions are quite weak.

Acknowledgements

The research was supported by grants from the State Key Laboratory of Coordination Chemistry at Nanjing University and the National Science Foundation of China.

References

- 1 C. Benelli, A. Caneschi, D. Gatteschi, O. Guillou and L. Pardi, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1990, 29, 1751 and refs. therein.
- N. Matsumoto, M. Sakamoto, H. Tamaki, H. Okawa and S. Kida, *Chem. Lett.*, 1989, 853; S. Wang, S. J. Trepanier and M. J. Wagner, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1993, 32, 833; M. Andruk, I. Ramade, E. Codjovi, O. Guillou, O. Kahn and J. C. Trombe, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1993, 115, 1822; I. Ramade, O. Kahn, Y. Jeannin and F. Robert, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1997, 36, 930 and refs. therein.
- 3 A. J. Blake, R. O. Gould, C. M. Grant, P. E. Y. Milne, S. Parsons and R. E. P. Winpenny, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1997, 485 and refs. therein.
- 4 J.-P. Costes, F. Dahan, A. Dupuis and J.-P. Laurent, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1997, **36**, 3429 and refs. therein.

- 5 C. Benelli, A. J. Blake, P. E. Y. Milne, J. M. Rawson and R. E. P. Winpenny, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 1995, **1**, 614.
- 6 X.-M. Chen, M.-L. Tong, Y.-L. Wu and Y.-J. Luo, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1996, 2181; X-M. Chen, Y.-L. Wu, Y. X. Tong and X.-Y. Huang, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1996, 2443.
- 7 J. L. Sanz, R. Ruiz, A. Gleizes, F. Lloret, J. Faus, M. Julve, J. J. Borrás-Almenar and Y. Journaux, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1996, **35**, 7384.
- 8 E. K. Brechin, S. G. Harris, S. Parsons and R. E. P. Winpenny, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1997, 1665; J. P. Costes, F. Dahan, A. Dupuis and J.-P. Laurent, Inorg. Chem., 1997, 36, 4284.
- 9 S. Decurtins, M. Gross, H. W. Schmalle and S. Ferlay, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1998, **37**, 2443.
- N. Sakagami and K. Okamoto, *Chem. Lett.*, 1998, 201; N. Sakagami, M. Tsunekawa, T. Konno and K. Okamoto, *Chem. Lett.*, 1997, 575; A. Gonzalez, A. Beltran and A. L. Bail, *Acta Crystallogr.*, *Sect. C*, 1991, **47**, 1624; D. M. L. Goodgame, T. E. Muller and D. J. Williams, *Polyhedron*, 1992, **11**, 1513.
- G. B. Deacon, C. M. Forsthy, W. C. Patalinghug, A. H. White, A. Dietrich and H. Schumann, Aust. J. Chem., 1992, 45, 567; L. F. Lindoy, H. C. Lip, H. W. Louie, M. G. B. Drew and M. J. Hudson, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1977, 778; J. M. Boncella and R. A. Andersen, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1984, 809; W. J. Evans, L. Bloom, J. W. Grate, L. A. Hughes, W. E. Hunter and J. L. Atwood, Inorg. Chem., 1985, 24, 4620; J. P. White, H. Deng, E. P. Boyd, J. Galluci and S. G. Shore, Inorg. Chem., 1994, 33, 1685; D. M. L. Goodgame, S. Menzer, A. T. Ross and D. J. Williams, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1994, 2605; D. Deng, X. Zheng, C. Qian, J. Sun, A. Dormond, D. Baudry and M. Visseaux; J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1994, 1665; Y. Yukawa, S. Igarashi, A. Yamano and S. Sato, Chem. Commun., 1997, 711.
- 12 A. T. Casey, S. Mitra, in *Theory and Applications of Molecular Paramagnetism*, eds. E. A. Boudreaux and L. N. Mulay, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1976, pp. 271–316.

Received 2nd June 1998; Communication 8/04132F